



CTC SOURCE
PROTECTION
REGION



CTC SOURCE PROTECTION COMMITTEE

**INDEX TO
SOURCE PROTECTION COMMITTEE MEETING #2/14
Wednesday, April 23, 2014
9:30 am to 3:00 pm**

Approval of Minutes of Meeting #1/14 - February 4, 2014 101

Correspondence

Article in Novae res urbis Greater Toronto Area Edition, dated February 26, 2014, regarding "Ministry Drags on Source Protection Plans, Awaiting Action" 102
 Email to Source Protection Chairs and Project Managers, from Ling Mark, Director, Source Protection Branch, MOE, dated March 12, 2014, regarding NEB decision on Enbridge Line 9B 102

Presentation

Presentation by David Burnett, TRCA, regarding Provincial Policy Statement, 2014 - Highlights related to Source Water Protection

Items for Committee Action

Update of Tier 3 Water Budget (York Region-Durham) and revisions to Water Quantity Policies and Joint Consultation with South Georgian Bay Lake Simcoe Source Protection Region (SGBLS) 105
 Revisions to Proposed Water Quality Policies 108
 Updated CTC SPC Schedule and Workplan until Submission of the Amended Proposed Source Protection Plan 111

Items for Committee Information

MOE Liaison Officer - verbal update

MEETING OF THE SOURCE PROTECTION COMMITTEE #2/14 April 23, 2014

The Source Protection Committee Meeting #2/14, was held at Black Creek Pioneer Village, North Theatre, on April 23, 2014. The Chair Susan Self, called the meeting to order at 9:30 a.m.

PRESENT

Juli Abouchar	Member
Andrea Bourrie	Member
Doug Brown	Member
Wendy Burgess	Member
Michael D'Andrea	Member
Louise Foster	Member
Michael Garrett	Member
Robert Goodings	Member
Don Goodyear	Member
Irv Harrell	Member
David Kentner	Member
Heather Laidlaw	Member
Peter Miasek	Member
Lynne Moore	Member
Mark Schiller	Member
Susan Self	Chair
Howard Shapiro	Member
John Westlake	MOE, Liaison
Deb Martin-Downs	CA, Liaison

Absent

Jessica Ginsburg	Member
Norine Schofield	MOH, Liaison

Proxy

Bob Burnside	Member
Fred Ruf	Member
John Presta	Member

STAFF/ OBSERVERS

Beverley Thorpe

Sylvia Waters

Kathy Padgett

David Burnett

Chris Darling

Colleen Ditner

Kerry Mulchansingh

Don Ford

Gayle SooChan

Angelune DesLauriers

Kathryn Baker

David Simpson

Chitra Gowda

Syeda Banuri

Kyle Davis

Project Manager, CTC Source Water Protection

CTC Admin Coordinator

Planner I - Policy and Special Projects, TRCA

Planning & Development, TRCA

Planning, CLOSPA

Planning, CVSPA

Technical Lead, CVSPA

Technical Lead, TRSPA

Technical Lead, CLOSPA

MOE

MOE

Halton Region

Halton Region

Peel Region

County of Wellington

SECTION II - ITEMS FOR COMMITTEE ACTION

RES. #9/14 MINUTES

Moved by: David Kentner
Seconded by: Heather Laidlaw

THAT the Minutes of Meeting #1/14, held on February 4, 2014 be approved.

CARRIED

RES. #10/14 CORRESPONDENCE

Moved by: David Kentner
Seconded by: Peter Miasek

THAT the correspondence be approved.

CARRIED

NOVÆ res urbis

GREATER
TORONTO AREA
EDITION

WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 26, 2014

Vol. 17 • No. 9

Four-storey development going to OMB

OAKVILLE DENIAL

By Edward LaRusic

A four-storey, mixed-use development in a designated business district on Lakeshore Road West in Oakville has been rejected by council, and will be going to the Ontario Municipal Board.

February 11, the Oakville planning and development council unanimously rejected a zoning by-law application by **822403 Ontario Inc. (Mara Canada Inc.)** for a four-storey, mixed-use building on the corner of Lakeshore Road West and Brookfield Road, claiming it was an “overdevelopment” of the site. The development would consolidate three properties—174 Lakeshore Road West, and 87 and 91 Brookfield Road.

This rejection comes in spite of Oakville staff being in support of the application, and after a year of negotiation among Mara Canada, Oakville staff and residents. Mara Canada has since appealed the decision to the OMB.

Oakville planner in charge of this application, **Paul Demczak**, told *NRU* that Mara Canada had addressed staff and residents’ concerns about the site.

“One of the challenges of the site, is that it has significant grade changes on the property [to the east], and in trying to mitigate some of those potential impacts, staff worked with the applicant to address some of the

CONTINUED PAGE 7

Ministry drags on source protection plans

AWAITING ACTION

By Sarah Ratchford

Provincially required source water protection plans were turned into the province a year ago, but only two of the plans for southern Ontario have been approved.

The plans were created by 19 regional committees. The Credit Valley, Toronto and Region and Central Lake Ontario (CTC) Region is the largest, and encompasses Toronto, Durham, York and Peel regions and part of Halton Region. CTC region committee chair **Susan Self** says no funding has been allocated yet to implement the plans.

“One of the things that we have been pressing for is an indication of multi-year funding,” she tells *NRU*.

Environment ministry spokeswoman **Kate Jordan** says the time it has taken to review and accept the plans is simply due to the complexity of some of the plans over others. The Lakehead and Niagara plans have already been approved, but those cover smaller, simpler areas to protect.

“Complex plans require more time to review and approve,” Jordan tells *NRU*.

“In addition, some source protection authorities are completing new technical work to assess risks to drinking water sources, and adding this information to their assessment reports. This work, and the ministry’s review

CONTINUED PAGE 6

INSIDE

Making a statement
PPS five-year review finished

p 2 >

Play ball
GTA Pan Am venues on schedule

p 3 >

Looking back
Heritage grants for Oakville

p 5 >

OUR LEGAL TEAM. YOUR SUCCESS.

- Planning
- Development
- Municipal
- Real property
- Finance
- Infrastructure
- PPP
- Litigation
- Environmental

Jim Harbell
jharbell@stikeman.com

Calvin Lantz
clantz@stikeman.com

STIKEMAN ELLIOTT

STIKEMAN ELLIOTT LLP | www.stikeman.com

CORRESPONDENCE B

From: [Mark, Ling \(ENE\)](#)

Sent: Wednesday, March 12, 2014 9:13 AM

To: lbrown.spcchair@hay.net; willi@kos.net; susanself@powergate.ca; tomf@uwindsor.ca; gavin.smuk@mdswireless.com; cjash@amtelecom.net; bhartley@tbaytel.net; ghughes@persona.ca; janetstavingaa@rogers.com; istavingaa@nrtco.net; mneufeld@vaxxine.com; pinealen20@gmail.com; mchristie@xieenvironmental.com; rpilon@nation.on.ca; travnorhomestead@gmail.com; pimclarty@shaw.ca; ldollin@innisfil.ca; nelscarol@vianet.ca; bbedgood@uniserve.com; jthunt@eagle.ca; kgavine@conservationontario.ca; mwalters@conservationontario.ca; eharkins@conservationontario.ca; gcade@abca.on.ca; rmcrae@crca.ca; bthorpe@trca.on.ca; bevthorpe@sympatico.ca; rwyma@erca.org; dbloomfield@hrca.on.ca; mkeller@grandriver.ca; B.Longstaff@lsrca.on.ca; info@lakeheadca.com; andrea.hicks@lrc.on.ca; joe.evers@city.timmins.on.ca; brian.stratton@mrsourcewater.ca; allison.gibbons@mrsourcewater.ca; bwright@npca.ca; SueM@nbmca.on.ca; ktaylor@quinteconservation.ca; rpilon@nation.on.ca; d.ellingwood@waterprotection.ca; rbateman@ssmrca.ca; Judy.Sewell@city.greatersudbury.on.ca; taskerc@thamesriver.on.ca

Cc: [Malcolmson, Heather \(ENE\)](#); [Kinch, Pat \(ENE\)](#); [Ward, Melanie \(ENE\)](#); [Lavender, Wendy \(ENE\)](#); [Westlake, John \(ENE\)](#); [Wooding, Mary \(ENE\)](#); [McEllan, Teresa \(ENE\)](#)

Subject: NEB's decision on Enbridge's Line 9 has been released.

SENT ON BEHALF OF LING MARK, DIRECTOR, SOURCE PROTECTION PROGRAMS BRANCH, MINISTRY OF THE ENVIRONMENT

Hello all,

As I'm sure you've heard, the National Energy Board (NEB) has released its decision on Enbridge's "Line 9B Reversal and Line 9 Capacity Expansion Project". The decision is available on NEB's website here: <https://docs.neb-one.gc.ca/ll-eng/llisapi.dll?func=ll&objid=2428616&objAction=browse&viewType=1>.

During the hearing process, the NEB released 26 "Draft Potential Conditions" for review. Ontario reviewed these, and recommended additional conditions, some of which were incorporated into the NEB's final decision. The NEB's decision speaks to the issues identified at the beginning of this process, and imposes 30 conditions on Enbridge. Many of these must be met before Enbridge can apply for "leave to open" and put the pipeline into operation. Some of the conditions, which relate to protection of drinking water sources and align with some of the policies proposed in source protection plans, are mentioned below. This is not an exhaustive review of the

decision, however, and I invite you to review the document at the link above for more information.

In the opening disposition, the NEB acknowledges concerns raised by Participants (including the MOE and many of our source protection partners) about the potential risks of a pipeline leak near drinking water sources. Later in the document, the NEB states: *“Of particular concern to the Board is any incident that would result in a pollutant entering drinking water sources, given the significant risk of negative consequences on human health that could result.”* (pg. 58).

- Enbridge made commitments to certain actions during the course of the NEB hearing, and through **Conditions 2, 3, and 5** the NEB requires Enbridge to submit a table of these commitments, fulfill them, and report to the NEB on their progress. One of these commitments was *“to incorporate the vulnerable areas identified in Ontario’s water source protection plans into Enbridge’s high consequence area data, environmentally sensitive area maps, and emergency response plans prior to LTO and to update that information over time.”* (pg. 57)
- **Condition 13** requires Enbridge to submit an **“environmental protection and emergency response coordination framework”** that demonstrates the coordination, linkages and alignment of their required Environmental Protection Plan and the Emergency Management Plans. The NEB’s rationale (pg. 58) explicitly links this condition to protecting drinking water sources.
- **Condition 14** requires Enbridge to update its **“continuing education program”**, including emergency management exercises, in consultation with emergency response stakeholders. **Condition 26** requires an annual report on the implementation of this program.
- **Conditions 18 and 25** require Enbridge to develop (and update) a **Watercourse Crossing Management Plan (WCMP)**. This WCMP is also tied to **Condition 16**, which requires a report on the new Line 9 valves system that explains how valve placement will protect watercourses and water intakes.
 - *Note:* condition 25 lists the *Toronto & Region CA* and other appropriate authorities bodies to be consulted on the WCMP.
- **Condition 20** requires Enbridge to file site-specific Emergency Response Plans for Line 9 that consider high consequence areas, including major watercourse crossings, 60 days prior to applying for LTO.
- **Condition 24** requires an **“Ongoing Engagement”** program, and specifies **Conservation authorities** as one of the stakeholders to be consulted with at least once / year.

Sincerely,
Ling Mark

RES. #11/14 **Update of Tier 3 Water Budget (York Region-Durham) and revisions to Water Quantity Policies and Joint Consultation with South Georgian Bay Lake Simcoe Source Protection Region (SGBLS).**

Report for committee information on revisions required to the TRSPA Assessment Report based on the completion of the Tier 3 Water Budget (York Region-Durham), the revisions to the Water Quantity policies and the joint consultation to be held with SGBLS on the above.

Moved by: Andrea Bourrie
Seconded by: Heather Laidlaw

THAT the CTC SPC considers staff recommendations on revisions to policies DEM-10 and REC-1 in response to further consultation with municipalities;

THAT the CTC SPC direct staff to send a letter to Halton Region, Towns of Halton Hills and Erin, County of Wellington and the NEC advising of the change in risk level in the Halton Local area and that policies that apply to moderate risk level only deal with future threat activities;

AND FURTHER THAT staff be directed to take the necessary action to revise Proposed Water Quantity policies in preparation for public consultation.

AMENDMENT #1

RES.#12/14

THAT first paragraph be amended to read as follows:

THAT the CTC SPC approves staff recommendations on revisions to policies DEM-10;

AMENDMENT #1 WAS

CARRIED

AMENDMENT #2

RES.#13/14

THAT second paragraph be amended to read as follows:

THAT CTC SPC direct staff to explore further revisions to policies REC-1 and DEM-4 and report back at meeting #3/14, to be held on May 27, 2014;

AMENDMENT #2 WAS

CARRIED

AMENDMENT #3

RES.#14/14

THAT third paragraph be amended, to read as follows:

THAT the CTC SPC direct staff to send a letter to inform Halton Region, Towns of Halton Hills and Erin, County of Wellington and the NEC advising of the change in risk level in the Halton Local area and that policies that apply to moderate risk level only deal with future threat activities;

AMENDMENT #3 WAS

CARRIED

THE RESULTANT MOTION READS AS FOLLOWS:

THAT the CTC SPC approves staff recommendations on revisions to policies DEM-10;

THAT CTC SPC direct staff to explore further revisions to policies REC-1 and DEM-4 and report back at meeting #3/14, to be held on May 27, 2014;

THAT the CTC SPC direct staff to send a letter to inform Halton Region, Towns of Halton Hills and Erin, County of Wellington and the NEC advising of the change in risk level in the Halton Local area and that policies that apply to moderate risk level only deal with future threat activities;

THE MAIN MOTION , AS AMENDED, WAS

CARRIED

BACKGROUND

Update of Tier 3 Water Budget (York Region and a portion of Durham)

At the CTC Source Protection Committee (SPC) Meeting #1/14 held on February 4, 2014, members directed staff by RES #8/14 to make the necessary revisions to the TRSPA Assessment Report to update the report with the results of the Tier 3 Water Budget (York Region and a portion of Durham), and to undertake any necessary public consultation in the new Local Area of York-Durham Tier 3 in conjunction with South Georgian Bay Lake Simcoe Source Protection Region (SGBLS). Following the meeting, technical staff initiated revisions to the chapters and appendices in the report documenting the Tier 3 Water Budget. Once revisions are finalized they will be sent to the Ministry of the Environment (MOE) for review and final approval. A summary of changes has been created for eventual posting on the CTC website during consultation (see **Attachment 1**).

Revisions to Water Quantity policies

Also at SPC Meeting #1/14 held on February 4, 2014, by RES #4/14 staff were directed to make necessary revisions to Water Quantity policies and arrange for a pre-consultation with the MOE, the Credit Valley Source Protection Authority (CVSPA) and Toronto and Region Source Protection Authority (TRSPA), neighbouring Source Protection Committees, affected municipalities and any other implementing body on the proposed revisions to Water Quantity policies, resulting from consultation on Halton Region Local Area and proposed changes as a result of completion of York-Durham Tier 3 technical work.

Planning staff initiated revisions to the Water Quantity policies based on direction received from members at February 4, 2014 SPC meeting and delegations received that day. On March 20, 2014 CTC staff hosted a pre-consultation working session on Water Quantity policy to review any outstanding concerns with affected implementing bodies. Representatives attended from the MOE, CVSPA, TRSPA, York and Halton Region, Towns of Halton Hills and Erin, County of Wellington, and neighbouring Source Protection Regions (Lake Erie and Halton-Hamilton). Following this session and taking the discussion into consideration staff made further revisions to the Water Quantity policies in preparation for further public consultation (see **Attachment 2**). Staff seek SPC approval on two policies that have been revised and which vary from the direction provided at the February 4 SPC meeting. DEM-10 has been written to be York specific after further discussions with the municipalities, while the definition of major development for REC-1 has been revised.

Consultation

Upon approval of the Halton Tier 3 technical work, CTC staff facilitated a consultation which ran in the fall of 2013 where technical work and draft Water Quantity policies were available for comment. The CVSPA Assessment Report is being updated with the Halton Tier 3 technical work and will be sent to the MOE for staff review, followed by a formal submission, shortly. At the time of the fall consultation, the Tier 3 Water Budget (York Region and a portion of Durham) was not yet complete. At SPC meeting #1/14 by RES #8/14 the Tier 3 Water Budget (York Region and a portion of Durham) was approved for consultation. Staff have proposed a joint consultation with SGBLS to coincide with their planned consultation on amended plan and new technical work. For the CTC, this consultation will include only the Water Quantity policies that would apply to the vulnerable areas in York and Durham Regions. These are policies that apply to future Significant Water Quantity Threat activities in an area with a moderate risk level as shown in the "Where Policy Applies" column in **Attachment 2**.

Public consultation will focus on the TRSPA AR Update of the Tier 3 Water Budget for (York Region and a portion of Durham) and the applicable Water Quantity policies. Public consultation will take place from April 24 - May 23, 2014, with an Open House scheduled for May 7 at the Whitchurch-Stouffville Museum from 5:00 - 8:00 pm.

NEXT STEPS

A newspaper ad has been designed by SGBLS and is under review. A joint ad will be created to be placed in local papers which are shared across both regions (e.g., Stouffville Sun). Notices will be sent to municipalities and other implementing bodies advising of the consultation. Persons who have subscribed to email notifications will be circulated on the information as well.

We would request that those SPC members who wish to attend the public open house should notify staff. SGBLS staff have suggested that we coordinate staff and SPC member attendance to ensure there is not a preponderance of source protection representatives that overwhelm the public attendance.

Report prepared by: Sylvia Waters extension 5633

Email swaters@trca.on.ca

For Information contact: Kathy Padgett, extension 5568

Email: kpadgett@trca.on.ca

Date: April 1, 2014

Attachments: 2

Attachment 2 - Revised Water Quantity policies for public consultation (under separate cover

Attachment 1 - Website Text - Tier 3 Water Budget (York Region and portion of Durham Region)

RES. #15/14 Revisions to Proposed Water Quality Policies. The Ministry of the Environment, on February 7, 2014 sent CTC staff comments on the Proposed Water Quality policies, which were submitted to the Minister in October 2012. The CTC Source Protection Committee must consider comments and recommended revisions.

Moved by: Michael D'Andrea
Seconded by: Louise Foster

THAT the CTC SPC consider staff recommendations on possible revisions to the Proposed Water Quality policies in response to Ministry of the Environment comments received February 7, 2014;

THAT pursuant to discussion at CTC SPC meeting #2/14, held on April 23, 2014 (and possibly April 24, 2014) any revisions shall be made, including any additional policies that may be identified;

AND FURTHER THAT staff be directed to take the necessary action to revise Proposed Water Quality policies in preparation for public consultation in the summer of 2014.

AMENDMENT #1

RES.#16/14

THAT second paragraph be amended to read as follows:

THAT pursuant to discussion at CTC SPC meeting #2/14, held on April 23, 2014, SPC directed staff to make revisions as outlined in Attachment 1;

AMENDMENT #1 WAS

CARRIED

AMENDMENT #2

RES.#17/14

THAT third paragraph be amended to read as follows:

AND FURTHER THAT staff be directed to take the necessary action to revise Proposed Water Quality policies and report back at meeting #3/14, to be held on May 27, 2014.

THE RESULTANT MOTION READS AS FOLLOWS:

THAT the CTC SPC consider staff recommendations on possible revisions to the Proposed Water Quality policies in response to Ministry of the Environment comments received February 7, 2014;

THAT pursuant to discussion at CTC SPC meeting #2/14, held on April 23, 2014, SPC directed staff to make revisions as outlined in Attachment 1;

AND FURTHER THAT staff be directed to take the necessary action to revise Proposed Water Quality policies and report back at meeting #3/14, to be held on May 27, 2014.

THE MAIN MOTION WAS

CARRIED

BACKGROUND

On February 7, 2014 CTC staff received initial comments from the Ministry of the Environment (MOE) on the water quality policies which were submitted in the Proposed Source Protection Plan on October 22, 2012, to the Minister of the Environment. Upon receipt, Ministry staff held a teleconference to review the comments and answer any CTC staff questions.

MOE made a total of 21 comments, eight of which were editorial and thirteen substantial. The most significant comments include:

- use of incorrect tools;
- verification of vulnerability scores;
- further clarification on background/intent of specific policies;
- addition of new policies to capture missing threat subcategories; and
- review of policies that prohibit future activities in Issue Contributing Areas (ICAs).

At CTC SPC meeting #2/14, to be held on April 23, 2014 (and possibly April 24, 2014) staff will provide CTC SPC members with an overview presentation of the major concerns raised through MOE comments. Staff have reviewed MOE comments and have developed recommendations on how policies may be revised (see **Attachment 1**). **Attachment 1** consists of the proposed quality policies submitted to the Minister in October 2012; the proposed rewording of the policy for CTC SPC consideration; comments received from MOE; and staff analysis and recommendations to revise the policies and other actions to address these comments.

Staff have identified the policies which need to be discussed and will facilitate members review of recommendations policy by policy as required on April 23-24. CTC SPC members are asked to identify at the beginning of the meeting which policies (by number) they wish to discuss in detail with the most contentious policy revisions to be addressed first.

Note that this will not be members' final review of these policies. A formal consultation on the Proposed Amended CTC Source Protection Plan will take place in the summer of 2014. Comments received from this consultation will be considered by the CTC SPC. At that time SPC members will be required to make final decisions for submission of the Proposed Amended Source Protection Plan in October 2014. Final comments from MOE will be sent once they receive revised policies and are satisfied with any further explanatory information provided by us as requested through their comments.

NEXT STEPS

Upon approval by the CTC SPC of the revised Water Quality policies, staff will undertake the necessary actions required to revise policies based on the recommendations of the CTC SPC for discussion with MOE and other affected implementing bodies. Staff will report back on these informal discussions at Meeting #3/14, to be held on May 27 in preparation for public consultation in the summer 2014.

Report prepared by: Kathy Padgett, extension 5568

Emails: kpadgett@trca.on.ca

For Information contact: Kathy Padgett, extension 5568

Emails: kpadgett@trca.on.ca

Date: March 28, 2014

Attachment 1: Water Quality Policy Revisions

RES. #18/14 Updated CTC SPC Schedule and Workplan until Submission of the Amended Proposed Source Protection Plan. Proposed CTC SPC meeting schedule update and staff workplan to the date of submission of the Amended Proposed Source Protection Plan.

Moved by: Louise Foster
Seconded by: Mark Schiller

THAT CTC SPC members approve the addition of a September CTC SPC meeting date and workplan which covers up to the date of submission of the Source Protection Plan;

THAT staff be directed to proceed with work necessary to meet the schedule;

AND FURTHER THAT staff be directed to post the approved schedule on the CTC website.

AMENDMENT #1

RES.#19/14

THAT first paragraph be amended to read as follows:

THAT CTC SPC members approve an additional meeting on September 23, 2014 and workplan which covers up to the date of submission of the Source Protection Plan;

AMENDMENT #1 WAS

CARRIED

BACKGROUND

At the June 4, 2013 CTC SPC meeting staff advised members that the Ministry of the Environment (MOE) comments on the proposed source protection plans would be provided to the respective CTC Source Protection Authorities in the late fall/early winter 2013. At that time two meetings were schedule for early 2014 (January 14 and March 18th) to coincide with completion of technical material and the anticipated request to consider amending source protection plan policies.

At the September 27, 2013 Chairs and PMs teleconference call the MOE informed participants that their review of Source Protection Plans was behind schedule and comments on policies would be provided to respective CTC Source Protection Authorities in December 2013, and final comments in April 2014, with proposed date for re-submission of the amended Source Protection Plan (SPP) by July 2014.

As MOE was unclear as to whether comments would come at the beginning or the end of December 2013, staff proposed to reschedule the January 14 meeting to the date of February 4 and push the March 18 date to April 1, 2014 to complete amended source protection plan policies which would require SPC review and approval.

In December 2013, initial comments on water quantity policies were received. These were discussed at meeting #1/14, held on February 4, 2014. Also, as the water quality comments had not yet been received, by RES #7/14 the CTC SPC meeting schedule was revised to cancel the March 18 and April 1 dates and create a two day meeting (April 23-24), and meetings on May 27 and June 24.

On February 7, 2014 MOE sent initial comments on water quality policies and staff have been reviewing comments and drafting recommendations for revisions. At meeting #2/14 to be held on April 23-24 the CTC SPC will review these comments and staff recommendations, and direct staff further. Staff have proposed to MOE an October submission of the Amended Proposed Source Protection Plan, thus staff have created a workplan to meet that schedule (see **Attachment 1**).

MOE staff have advised that the Lake Ontario policy comments will be sent in April 2014. At the time of this report staff had not received comments on the Lake Ontario policies from MOE. Final comments from MOE will be sent once they receive revised policies and are satisfied with any further explanatory information provided by us as requested through their comments.

At this time staff recommend an additional SPC meeting to review any comments received from public consultation on the amended Plan and finalize approval of the Plan for re-submission through the respective Source Protection Authorities. Two possible dates have been confirmed with BCPV either September 16th or 23rd. The SPC is requested to advise which date should be chosen.

NEXT STEPS

Staff will post the approved schedule of revised meeting dates on the website. Meeting dates may be further revised if the provincial comments are not received in time for staff to assess and circulate. Staff will advise CTC SPC members when the comments are received and seek approval of any further revision to the meeting schedule via email. Copies of the comments received will be provided to members as soon as possible after receipt to provide time for review in advance of the SPC meeting. The expected timetable to respond back to the province regarding proposed amendments to the source protection plans is three months, this includes anticipated time for staff to review comments, make detailed recommendation to the SPC and provide time for consultation on proposed amendments.

Report prepared by: Sylvia Waters, extension 5633
Emails: swaters@trca.on.ca
For Information contact: Sylvia Waters, extension 5633
Emails: swaters@trca.on.ca
Date: March 18, 2014
Attachment: 1

Attachment 1

Timeline	Quantity Policies	Quality Policies	Lake Ontario Policies
April	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Make revisions based on discussion with implementing bodies. • SPC to review policies at April 23rd SPC meeting in preparation for public consultation. • Finalize edits to go out for joint public consultation with SGBLS from April 24 – May 23. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • April 23rd SPC meeting to review policy revisions based on MOE comments and to provide direction to staff. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MOE comments expected in April.
May	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Joint public open house with SGBLS (May 7). • Public consultation ends May 23. • Staff to make revisions based on comments received during public consultation. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Revise and prepare policies for final public consultation. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff to make revisions based on MOE comments for May 27th SPC meeting. • SPC to review and provide direction at May 27th SPC meeting.
June	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff to make revisions based on comments received during public consultation. • Receive SPC approval at June 24 SPC meeting to proceed with public consultation on the entire SPP. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Finalize revisions and prepare policies for final public consultation. • Receive SPC approval at June 24 SPC meeting to proceed with public consultation on the entire SPP. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Finalize revisions and prepare policies for final public consultation. • Receive SPC approval at June 24 SPC meeting to proceed with public consultation on the entire SPP.
July	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Final public consultation on SPP (30 days). 		
August	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff to revise SPP based on comments received during final public consultation in preparation for SPC review and approval in September. 		
September	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SPC meeting (TBD) for discussion and final approval of revisions made to the SPP based on comments received during final public consultation. • Staff to make final edits to the SPP and prepare for submission. 		
October	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submission of the SPP to MOE. 		

11. NEW BUSINESS

TERMINATION

ON MOTION, the meeting terminated at 3:30., on April 23, 2014.
