

Analysis of Status of Response to MOECC Comments on CTC Lake Ontario Policies					
Policy ID	MOE April 11	MOE June 18	MOECC Aug 29	Outstanding Issue	Recommended Action
LO-G-1	Remove LO-G-1(2)	Support change of wording from “threshold” to “notification”	No comment	no	None required
LO-G-2	Concerns with 3D modeling and permanent instrumentation	Concern with b) – future forecasting, as it does not address modelled threat.	Concern with b) – future forecasting, as it does not address threat.	yes	Need for further discussion with MOECC
LO-G-3	Concern with c) & d) – assessing new threats, as it does not address modelled threat.	No comment	No comment	no	None required
LO-G-4	Concern with assessing new threats, as it does not address modelled threat.	Concern with assessing new threats, as it does not address modelled threat.	Concern with assessing new threats, as it does not address modelled threat.	yes	Need for further discussion with MOECC
LO-G-5	Concern with gathering information to inform next round of source protection planning, as it does not address modelled threat.	Concern with gathering information to inform next round of source protection planning, as it does not address modelled threat.	Concern with gathering information to inform next round of source protection planning, as it does not address modelled threat.	yes	Need for further discussion with MOECC
LO-G-6	Concern with assessing emerging threats as it does not address modelled threat.	Concern with assessing emerging threats as it does not address modelled threat	No comment	yes	Need for further discussion with MOECC
LO-NGS-1	No comment	No comment	No comment	no	None required
LO-SEW-1	Concern that policy may be too prescriptive	Concern that policy may be too prescriptive	No comment	no	None required
LO-SEW-2	No comment	No comment	No comment	no	None required
LO-SEW-3	Concern that policy does not address a modelled threat	Concern that policy does not address a modelled threat	Concern that policy does not address a modelled threat	yes	Need for further discussion with MOECC
LO-SEW-4	No comment	No comment	Request deletion as policy does not address a modelled threat	yes	Discuss with SPC
LO-PIPE-1	Concerns with MOECC responsibility to implementing policy	Concerns with MOECC responsibility to implementing policy	No comment	no	None required
LO-FUEL-1	Concerns with MOECC responsibility to implementing policy	Concerns with MOE responsibility to implementing policy	No comment – however August 22, 2014 meeting revealed continued concerns with MOECC having ability to require RMP.	yes	Discuss with SPC if other implementation tools can be used
LO-FUEL-2	Suggest revising to education outreach policy	Suggest revising to education outreach policy	Suggest revising to education outreach policy	yes	Need for further discussion with MOECC
LO-FUEL-3	No comment	No comment	No comment	no	None required